

Shadd A. Wade, Esq.  
Nevada State Bar No. 11310  
THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP  
5275 S. Durango Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113  
Telephone (702) 435-4175  
Facsimile: (702) 877-7424  
[swade@ccfirm.com](mailto:swade@ccfirm.com)  
Attorney for Defendant  
South Shore Land Acquisitions, LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

CODY B. WEST,	)	
	)	Case No.: 2:10-cv-01950-GMN-LRL
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	
	)	
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; RECONTRUST	)	
COMPANY, N.A.; BAC HOME LOANS	)	
SERVICING, LP; ASPEN MORTGAGE,	)	
LLC; SOUTH SHORE LAND	)	
ACQUISITIONS, LLC; MORTGAGE	)	
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS.	)	
INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
	)	

**EX PARTE MOTION TO EXPUNGE LIS PENDENS**

Defendant SOUTH SHORE LAND ACQUISITIONS, LLC ("SSLA"), by and through its counsel SHADD A. WADE, ESQ. of THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP, hereby submits its Ex Parte Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens.

On October 13, 2010, in connection with the instant lawsuit, Plaintiff Cody B. West recorded a Notice of Pendency of Action in the official records of Clark County as Instrument No. 20101013-0001026.

1 On September 2, 2011, in connection with the instant lawsuit, Plaintiff Cody B. West  
2 recorded a second Notice of Pendency of Action in the official records of Clark County as  
3 Instrument No. 20110902-0001386.

4  
5 SSLA requests an Order expunging the two (2) lis pendens recorded against the  
6 property at issue, 4316 Blushed Meadows Road, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89031, Assessor's  
7 Parcel Number 124-31-210-062 ("the property").

8 DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011.

9  
10 THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP

11 /s/ Shadd A. Wade, Esq.

12 Shadd A. Wade, Esq.

13 Nevada State Bar No. 11310

14 THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP

15 5275 South Durango Drive

16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

17 [swade@ccfirm.com](mailto:swade@ccfirm.com)

18 Attorneys for Defendant

19 South Shore Land Acquisitions, LLC

20  
21 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

22 **I.**

23 **INTRODUCTION**

24 Defendant SSLA is a Nevada limited liability company, which on July 20, 2011,  
25 became the owner and title-holder of the property at issue in this case: 4316 Blushed Meadows  
26 Road, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89031 ("the property"). SSLA purchased the property at a  
27 public foreclosure auction held on July 20, 2011, and recorded its deed on August 4, 2011  
28 (Trustee's Deed Upon Sale attached to SSLA's Motion to Dismiss [37] as **Exhibit A**. Judicial  
notice of this public record is requested).

1 Prior to purchasing the property, SSLA researched the title to the property and all  
2 public recordings on title to the property. SSLA found a "Lis Pendens" recorded on October  
3 13, 2010, which references Eight Judicial District Court Case Number A-10-627154-C. Upon  
4 researching the status of that case, SSLA found that plaintiff Cody West ("plaintiff") had  
5 voluntarily dismissed the case (Order entered January 7, 2011).  
6

7 On August 22, 2011, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Joinder [32] to add SSLA as a party to  
8 the instant litigation. On August 30, 2011, this Court issued an Order [34] dismissing all of  
9 plaintiff West's causes of action as plead, with leave to amend TILA damages, fraud, failure to  
10 accept tender of payment, and breach of fiduciary duty causes of action plead against other  
11 Defendants. West failed to amend as allowed by the Court, but instead on September 1, 2011,  
12 West filed an Amended Complaint, and later caused it to be served on SSLA. On December  
13 13, 2011, this Court entered an Order dismissing West's Second Amended Complaint with  
14 prejudice. As no claims or dispute as to tile to the property remain, SSLA brings the instant Ex  
15 Parte Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens.  
16  
17

## 18 II.

### 19 ARGUMENT

#### 20 I. THE LIS PENDENS ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY MUST BE 21 EXPUNGED BECAUSE PLAINTIFF HAS NO CLAIM OF OWNERSHIP 22 OR TITLE AND BECAUSE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINTS HAVE BEEN 23 DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE

24 Under Nevada law, a party may file and record a notice of pendency of action, or a "lis  
25 pendens" only in an action where the dispute involves foreclosure of a mortgage or the title or  
26 possession of real property. NRS 14.010. A party seeking to uphold a lis pendens must  
27 establish the following: (1) the action is for the foreclosure of a mortgage upon the real  
28 property described in the notice or affects the title or possession of the real property described

1 in the notice; (2) the action was not brought in bad faith or for an improper motive; (3) the  
2 party who recorded the notice will be able to perform any conditions precedent to the relief  
3 sought in the action insofar as it affects the title or possession of the real property; and (4) the  
4 party who recorded the notice would be injured by any transfer of an interest in the property  
5 before the action is concluded. NRS 14.015.  
6

7 In addition, the party who recorded the notice must establish to the satisfaction of the  
8 court either: (1) that the party who recorded the notice is likely to prevail in the action; or (2)  
9 that the party who recorded the notice has a fair chance of success on the merits in the action  
10 and the injury would be sufficiently serious that the hardship on him or her in the event of a  
11 transfer would be greater than the hardship on the defendant resulting from the notice of  
12 pendency, and that if the party who recorded the notice prevails he or she will be entitled to  
13 relief affecting the title or possession of the real property. NRS 14.015(3).  
14

15 In turn, under NRS 14.015(3), a lis pendens must be removed and cancelled when: (1)  
16 the party who recorded the notice will not likely prevail in the action; and (2) when the party  
17 who recorded the notice does not have a fair chance of success on the merits of the action. See  
18 NRS 14.015(3). Similarly, a lis pendens must be removed and cancelled when the court  
19 dismisses the action. See e.g., McNair Bulders, Inc. v. 1629 16th Street, L.L.C., 968 A.2d  
20 505,508 (D.C. 2009); Hawk v. Estate of Hawk, No. 14-05-01057-CV, 2006 WL 2433966 at 6  
21 (Tex. Civ. App. Aug. 24, 2006) (affirming trial court's cancellation of lis pendens after  
22 summary judgment, because "lis pendens [was] no longer necessary").  
23  
24

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 In the present case, as set forth fully above, Plaintiff's Complaint and accompanying  
2 causes of action were dismissed with prejudice. Accordingly, Plaintiff: (1) is not likely to  
3 prevail in the action; *and* (2) does not have a fair chance of success on the merits in the action.  
4 Thus, there is no good faith basis for the two recorded lis pendens to remain on record. The two  
5 lis pendens cannot properly remain as an encumbrance on the subject property when Plaintiff's  
6 underlying actions have been dismissed with prejudice. Therefore, because Plaintiff's  
7 Complaints have been dismissed, this Court should enter an Order cancelling, removing, and  
8 expunging the two recorded lis pendens. SSLA also submits an Order for same.  
9  
10

11 DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011.

12 THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP

13  
14 /s/ Shadd A. Wade

15 Shadd A. Wade, Esq.

16 Nevada Bar No. 11310

17 5275 S. Durango Dr.

18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

19 Attorney for Defendant South Shore Land  
20 Acquisitions, LLC  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28